

verification of the hand geometry registered with the badge be performed as discussed above. Thus, the proposed system provides an identity verification process that is equivalent to the existing process.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the exemption to allow individuals not employed by the licensee to take their picture badges off site will not result in an increase in the risk that an unauthorized individual could potentially enter the protected area. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

The proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the proposed action would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the requested action would not significantly enhance the environment in that the proposed action will result in a process that is equivalent to the existing identification verification process.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Braidwood Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 19, 1995, the staffer consulted with the Illinois State Official, Mr. Mike Parker, Chief Reactor Safety Section; Division of Engineering; Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety; regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letter dated May 22, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Wilmington Library, 201 S. Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois 60481.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Ramin R. Assa,
Project Manager, Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-18556 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards; Cancellation of Meeting

The 424th meeting of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards scheduled to be held on August 10-12, 1995, in Conference Room T-2B3, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland has been cancelled. The date of this meeting was previously published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, December 28, 1994 (59 FR 66977).

For further information contact: Mr. Sam Duraiswamy, Chief Nuclear Reactors Branch, (telephone 301-415-7364), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. EDT.

Dated: July 24, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.
[FR Doc. 95-18556 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-458]

Entergy Operations, Inc., Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) to withdraw its September 8, 1994, application for proposed amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-47 for the River Bend Station, Unit No. 1, located in West Feliciana Parish.

The proposed amendment would have revised the technical specifications pertaining to bypassing the rod withdrawal limiter notch constraints while performing fuel power suppression testing.

The Commission had previously issued a Consideration of Issuance of

Amendment published in the Federal Register on September 16, 1994 (59 FR 47652). However, by letter dated July 14, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated September 8, 1994, and the licensee's letter dated July 14, 1995, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Government Document Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 20th day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
David L. Wigginton,
Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV-1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 95-18557 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

Generalized System of Preferences (GSP); Notice Regarding the 1995 Annual GSP Review

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice of the product patterns that are being accepted for consideration in the 1995 Annual GSP Review.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the acceptance of petitions that were filed in the 1995 Annual GSP Review requesting a modification in the list of articles that are eligible for duty-free treatment under the GSP program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: GSP Subcommittee, Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, N.W., Room 518, Washington, D.C. 20506. The telephone number is (202) 395-6971.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The GSP program grants duty-free treatment to designated eligible articles that are imported from designated beneficiary developing countries. The GSP program is authorized by Title V the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("Trade Act," U.S.C. 2461 *et seq.*), and was implemented by Executive Order of November 24, 1975, and modified by subsequent Executive Orders and Presidential Proclamations. The GSP regulations provided for an annual

unless otherwise specified by Federal Register notice (15 CFR 2007.3)

In a notice dated May 4, 1995, USTR announced the 1995 Annual GSP Review and announced a deadline of June 14, 1995 for the filing of petitions (60 FR 22949). USTR received petitions requesting that products be added to, or removed from, the list of articles that are eligible for duty-free treatment under the GSP program, or that a country be granted a waiver of the "competitive limits" (CNLs) for an eligible article. The CNLs are set forth in section 144(a) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C.

1526(c)). USTR also received petitions requesting that certain practices in certain beneficiary developing countries

be reviewed to determine whether such countries are in compliance with the eligibility criteria that are set forth in sections 502(b) and 502(c) of the Trade Act (19 U.S.C. 2462(b) and 2462(c)). The consideration of these so-called "country practice" petitions is ongoing.

In accordance with the statutory and regulatory requirements, USTR has reviewed all of the product petitions and has decided which petitions should be accepted for consideration in the 1995 Annual GSP Review. The annex to this notice sets forth the products and the actions that will be considered in the 1995 Annual GSP Review.

Ordinarily, at the time that USTR announces the acceptance of petitions for review, we announce a review timetable that includes a public hearing

and an opportunity for public comment on the products and actions that are being considered in the annual review. We are not announcing a review timetable at this time, however, because the GSP program expires on July 31, 1995. Once the program is reauthorized by the Congress, then USTR will announce a review timetable and offer an opportunity for public comment.

As noted above, the consideration of the "country practice" petitions is ongoing. USTR will announce which "country practice" petitions are being accepted for review once the GSP program has been reauthorized.

Frederick L. Montgomery,
Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

BILLING CODE 3901-01-M

not
1995/5
since
not
authorized
yet