

process guidelines/req's

ism, fashion  
ernationally  
alling to  
onable  
o provide  
lectual  
The existenc  
nt measure  
ices are  
be used  
necfram  
ntry practic  
ise  
e law m  
ies have  
pendent  
led  
Paraguay  
an Republic  
the program  
gua. All  
of their  
opia was  
review of  
lered  
ineficiencie  
on the base  
level of  
2007  
sidering  
The  
ile and the  
e now  
vide  
ies, the  
sidering  
w. The  
sidering  
cess that  
e  
such  
thority  
ained  
7.3(a))  
n the past  
country  
e  
ss.  
new, an  
ion  
rst stage  
ather  
ration  
e  
ant  
n should  
why the  
uld be  
e  
ts

tion relevant to subsections  
and 502(c) to warrant review", or  
do not fall within the criteria" of  
subsections. "shall not be  
for review". Petitions  
reinstatement should meet  
same standards. Petitions should  
address the problems identified  
in the prior review which resulted  
in removal of GSP and describe the  
circumstances since that  
time. Petitions may also address  
changes made in other areas of  
country practice standard,  
and conditions related to other  
eligibility standards. Public files of  
changes are available to all  
interested parties from the USTR Public  
Room; the telephone number is  
301-973-6185.  
Annual reviews begin with a filing  
each June 1. As noted above,  
regulations allow this schedule  
to be modified by publishing a notice in  
the Federal Register. This notice hereby  
encourages their participation  
in progress in resolving country  
issues, requests for  
modification submitted by the  
beneficiaries will  
be considered at any time. Petitions  
from governmental parties will be  
accepted during the standard annual or  
special review filing period (June 1 each  
year unless otherwise modified).  
Petition which meets these  
requirements, and for which further  
consideration is deemed warranted,  
shall be accepted for formal review.  
Petitions which do not conform to these  
requirements shall not be accepted for  
formal review, and an explanation  
shall be provided to the petitioner upon written  
request. Decisions to accept petitions for  
formal review will be made as quickly as  
possible, but no fixed decision date will  
be set.  
With any other petition accepted  
for review, acceptance of a request  
for reinstatement of GSP eligibility will  
provide the opportunity for interested  
parties to participate in a public hearing  
and extended written comment  
period.  
Once formally initiated, reinstatement  
requests will not be required to follow  
the time guidelines of an annual or  
special review. When a petition is  
accepted for a review schedule will be  
published. The reinstatement schedule  
will specify a complete review  
period, including anticipated decision  
and implementation dates, or  
will include only the public hearing date  
and comment deadlines, with public  
hearing dates of the remaining schedule to be  
published as soon as appropriate. The  
purpose of this modification is to allow

sufficient time to determine the extent  
and significance of changes in law and  
practice. If, following a review, no  
change is made in the status of the  
former beneficiary, the GSP  
Subcommittee will notify the party  
submitting the request of the reasons  
why action was not taken.  
David A. Weiss,  
Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee.  
[FR Doc. 90-3259 Filed 2-9-90; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Applications for Certificates of Public  
Convenience and Necessity and  
Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under  
Subpart Q During the Week Ended  
February 2, 1990

The following applications for  
certificates of public convenience and  
necessity and foreign air carrier permits  
were filed under subpart Q of the  
Department of Transportation's  
Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR  
302.1701 et seq.). The due date for  
answers, confirming application, or  
motion to modify scope are set forth  
below for each application. Following  
the answer period DOT may process the  
application by expedited procedures.  
Such procedures may consist of the  
adoption of a show-cause order, a  
tentative order, or in appropriate cases a  
final order without further proceedings.

*Docket Number:* 46766.  
*Date filed:* January 31, 1990.  
*Due Date for Answers, Conforming  
Applications, or Motion to Modify  
Scope:* February 28, 1990.  
*Description:* Application of Loken  
Aviation, pursuant to section 401 of the  
Act and subpart Q of the Regulations  
applies for a certificate of public  
convenience and necessity for an  
indefinite term to perform scheduled,  
interstate air transportation of persons,  
property and mail between the terminal  
point Juneau, Alaska, and the  
intermediate point Cube Cove, Alaska.

*Docket Number:* 46767.  
*Date filed:* January 31, 1990.  
*Due Date for Answers, Conforming  
Applications, or Motion to Modify  
Scope:* February 28, 1990.  
*Description:* Application of  
Aerolineas Latinas, S.A., pursuant to  
section 402 of the Act and subpart Q of  
the Regulations applies for a foreign air  
carrier permit to operate all cargo  
charter and all-cargo non-scheduled air  
services between Venezuela and the co-  
terminal points of Miami/Ft. Lauderdale,

San Juan, Houston, New York and Los  
Angeles.  
Phyllis T. Kaylor,  
Chief, Documentary Services Division.  
[FR Doc. 90-3179 Filed 2-9-90; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4910-82-M

Coast Guard

[CCGD2-90-01]

Second Coast Guard District Industry  
Day; Meeting

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.  
ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: On 13 March 1990, the  
Commander, Second Coast Guard  
District, will sponsor an Industry Day  
program to provide for an open  
exchange of information, ideas, and  
opinions on matters of mutual interest or  
concern to the inland marine community  
and the Coast Guard. The Industry Day  
activities will be held at the  
Breckenridge-Frontenac Hotel, 1335  
South Lindberg, St. Louis, Missouri.

The schedule of events for Industry  
Day is:

- Monday, 12 March:
    - 5:00-8:00 p.m. Registration in the hotel  
lobby for early arrivals.
  - Tuesday, 13 March:
    - 7:30 a.m. Registration continues.
    - 8:30 a.m. General Session: greeting,  
opening comments, Industry Day  
Presentations.
    - 11:30 a.m. No host buffet luncheon.
    - 1:00 p.m. Panel Discussions: Three  
separate small group panels focusing on  
Towing Industry, Shore Side Facilities  
and Small Passenger Vessel Industry.
    - 4:30 p.m. Industry Day concludes.
- Advance registration and payment of  
the \$22 conference fee (which includes  
the cost of the luncheon) is required.  
Persons desiring registration forms or  
additional information on the Industry  
Day activities, including events  
scheduled by other groups to coincide  
with Industry Day, should contact one of  
the officers named below.  
Recommendations for discussion topics  
are requested and will be considered in  
developing the final agenda. Such  
recommendations must be submitted in  
writing to the officers named below. All  
registration forms and recommendations  
must be received by 23 February 1990.
- DATES: As listed in the schedule of  
events above.
- FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:  
Commander John D. Koski or Lieutenant  
Bruce D. Ward, Commander (mpb),  
Second Coast Guard District, 1430 Olive  
Street, St. Louis, Missouri, 63103-2398,

[File No. 81-843]

**Application and Opportunity for Hearing, Parsons Brinckerhoff Inc.**

February 6, 1990.

Notice is hereby given that Parsons Brinckerhoff Inc. ("Applicant") has filed an application pursuant to section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act") for an order exempting Applicant from certain reporting requirements under section 15(d) of the 1934 Act.

For a detailed statement of the information presented, all persons are referred to the application which is on file at the offices of the Commission in the Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549.

Notice is further given that any interested person, not later than March 5, 1990 may submit to the commission in writing his views or any substantial facts bearing on the application or the desirability of a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549, and should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person submitting such information or requesting the hearing, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact and law raised by the application which he desires to controvert.

Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) any any postponement thereof. At any time after that date, an order granting the application may be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporation Finance, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 90-3186 Filed 2-9-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

**OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE****Trade Policy Staff Committee; Generalized System of Preferences; Withdrawal of Petition Under the 1989 Annual Review**

This publication provides notice that a review concerning the expropriation of certain U.S.-owned properties by the Government of Venezuela (case number 002-CP-89) is being terminated at the request of the petitioner, the Occidental Petroleum Corporation. The Trade

Policy Staff Committee (TPSC) had formally initiated the review of this case as part of the 1988 Annual Review in a notice of July 20, 1988 (53 FR 16303). This review was extended into the 1989 Annual Review, as announced in a notice of August 10, 1989 (54 FR 32891). The Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) is provided for in the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (19 U.S.C. 2461-2465).

David A. Weiss,

Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

[FR Doc. 90-3258 Filed 2-9-90; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

**Generalized System of Preferences: Procedures for Considering Requests To Reinstate Beneficiaries Suspended or Removed From Eligibility**

**AGENCY:** Office of the United States Trade Representative (USTR).

**SUMMARY:** The purpose of this notice is to provide information to all interested parties regarding the process the Trade Policy Staff Committee (TPSC) shall use in responding to requests to reinstate Generalized System of Preferences (GSP) eligibility for those beneficiaries which have been suspended or removed from GSP after a review of the eligibility criteria contained in the GSP statute. GSP regulations describe the process for reviewing petitions requesting that a beneficiary be removed from GSP for not meeting the eligibility standards of the law. This same procedure is to be followed when reviewing requests to reinstate GSP except that the timetable will be modified. Authority to make such modifications is provided for in § 2007.3(a) of the current regulations. The modification would allow former beneficiary governments to petition for reinstatement at any time, and adjusts the review period, as required in each case.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests and questions regarding this notice should be directed to the GSP Information Center at USTR at 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20506; the phone number is (202) 395-6971.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** During GSP annual and general reviews, interested parties may file a request to have the GSP status of an eligible beneficiary reviewed with respect to the designation criteria listed in subsections 502(b) or 502(c) of the GSP statute (19 U.S.C. 2642 (b) and (c)). These criteria, known as "country practice" standards, include expropriating U.S. property without good faith efforts to provide prompt adequate and effective compensation, failing to act in good faith in recognizing arbitral awards, aiding or

abetting international terrorism, failing to take steps to provide international recognized worker rights, failing to provide equitable and reasonable market access, and failing to provide adequate and effective intellectual property rights protection. The existence of trade distorting investment measures and barriers to trade in services are additional criteria that can be used to evaluate the eligibility of beneficiary countries. As a result of country practice reviews conducted since these provisions were added to the law in 1984, several GSP beneficiaries have had their GSP eligibility suspended or withdrawn. Current suspended beneficiaries include Chile, Paraguay, Burma and the Central African Republic. Beneficiaries removed from the program include Romania and Nicaragua. All were removed after reviews of their worker rights practices. Ethiopia was also removed in 1980 after a review of an expropriation case.

Petitions will not be considered regarding reinstatement of beneficiaries graduated from the program on the basis of their economic growth and level of development.

Current regulations (15 CFR 2007) do not describe a process for considering restoration of GSP eligibility. The governments of Paraguay, Chile and the Central African Republic have now formally requested that such a reinstatement be made. To provide guidance to all interested parties, the process to be followed in considering such requests is clarified below. The process to be followed in considering requests for restoration of GSP eligibility will be the same process that applies for reviewing petitions requesting that a beneficiary be removed from GSP with one modification. The timetable for such reviews has been modified. Authority to make such modifications is contained in current regulations (15 CFR 2007.3(a)) and has been frequently used in the past to extend the review period for country practice cases.

Country practice petitions are considered in a two-stage process. During an annual or general review, interested party may file a petition requesting reinstatement. The first step of the review is to determine whether petitions meet regulatory information requirements and should thus be accepted for a full review. Current regulations specify that a petition should include "a statement of reasons why the beneficiary country's status should be reviewed along with all available supporting information." Requests which do not "provide sufficient

review Thus for

reinstatement

process for both new cases + reinstatement