verification of the hand geometry registered with the badge be performed as discussed above. Thus, the proposed system provides an identity verification process that is equivalent to the existing process.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that the exemption to allow individuals not employed by the licensee to take their picture badges off site will not result in an increase in the risk that an unauthorized individual could potentially enter the protected area. Consequently, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological impacts associated with the proposed action.

The proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. The principal alternative to the proposed action would be to deny the requested action. Denial of the requested action would not significantly enhance the environment in that the proposed action will result in a process that is equivalent to the existing identification verification process.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Bradwood Station, Units 1 and 2.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on July 15, 1995, the staff consulted with the Illinois State Official, Mr. Mike Parker, Chief Reactor Safety Section, Division of Engineering, Illinois Department of Nuclear Safety; regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's letter dated May 23, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1200 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and the local public document room located at the Wilmington Library, 301 S. Kankakee Street, Wilmington, Illinois 60481.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Ramin R. Ansari,

Project Director, Division of Reactor Safeguards—III, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations.

[FR Doc. 95-18558 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards: Cancellation of Meeting

The 424th meeting of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards scheduled to be held on August 10-12, 1995, in Conference Room 7-283, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, has been cancelled. The date of this meeting was previously published in the Federal Register on Wednesday, December 29, 1994 (59 FR 66977).

For further information contact: Mr. Sam Darulwany, Chief Nuclear Reactors Branch, (telephone 301-415-7364), between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. EDT.

Dated: July 24, 1995.

Andrew L. Bates,

Advisory Committee Management Office.

[FR Doc. 95-18556 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]

Entergy Operations, Inc., Notice of Withdrawal of Application for Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee) to withdraw its application dated September 8, 1994, for a proposed amendment to Entergy Operating License No. NPF-47 for the River Bend Station, Unit No. 1, located in West Feliciana Parish.

The proposed amendment would have revised the technical specifications pertaining to bypassing the rod withdrawal limiter notch constraints while performing fuel power suppression testing.

The Commission has previously issued a Consideration of Issuance of Amendment published in the Federal Register on September 16, 1994 (59 FR 47052). However, by letter dated July 14, 1995, the licensee withdrew the proposed change.

For further details with respect to the proposed amendment, see the application for amendment dated September 8, 1994, and the licensee's letter dated July 14, 1995, which withdrew the application for license amendment. The application documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelnair Building, 1200 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the government document section of the Louisiana State Library, Baton Rouge, LA 70803.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of July 1995.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Wiggersen, Senior Project Manager, Project Design, Division of Reactor Projects, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations.

[FR Doc. 95-18557 Filed 7-27-95; 8:45 am]
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